

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,707	01/18/2001	Terry Jay Traeder	D-20826	4728	
27182	7590 06/18/2003				
PRAXAIR, INC.			EXAMINER		
LAW DEPARTMENT - M1 557 39 OLD RIDGEBURY ROAD DANBURY, CT 06810-5113			PADEN, CA	PADEN, CAROLYN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1761		
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		3				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/761,707	TRAEDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carolyn A Paden	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 №	May 2003					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,20 and 21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4%-9,10,12,20,21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5,6,¹11 and 13-17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, **8**-9, 10, 12, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gallo as further evidenced by Hurst for reasons of record.

Applicant urges that Gallo does not show spraying sanitizing liquid at a velocity sufficient to wet microbes. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive. Gallo discloses spraying produce with a mixture of ozone and water so as to "kill bacteria". Since the preamble calls for "sanitizing a food product", one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that the wetting process in the claims acts to kill the bacteria. The fact that the velocity of the spray may not have been mentioned does not alter the fact that the application step in Gallo has the same effect as that set forth in the claims. Thus no unobvious or unexpected result is seen to flow from the processing step.

Applicant urges that Gallo sanitizes his produce by brushing it. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive. It is well known in

Art Unit: 1761

the food art that microorganisms cannot be removed from food products by brushing. Microorganisms can only be removed by sanitization. The sanitization in Gallo is the result of an exposure to ozone to disinfect the food (column 3, lines 33-38).

Applicant urges that the sanitizing step in Gallo does not set forth spraying the food product in a "plurality of directions". This has been considered but is not persuasive. The reference states that the produce is sprayed with water and ozone through a plurality of spray heads (column 3, lines 10-15). Since the whole purpose of the process is to sanitize a food product, it would have been obvious at the time of applicants' invention to spray a food product in a manner sufficient to sanitize it. Although a "plurality of directions" is not specifically stated in the reference, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to modify the spray direction of Gallo in order to maximize the sanitization of the food product.

Claims 3, 5, 6, 11 and 13-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1761

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is 703-308-3294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959.

Art Unit: 1761

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CAROLYN PADEN /

6-13-03